



TO: City Councilmembers
Bob Harrison, City Manager

FROM: Steve Groom, Finance

DATE: October 23, 2020

RE: Recommendation of LIBOR replacement in the YMC

This memorandum provides the basis for a recommendation for replacement of LIBOR, the London Interbank Offered Rate, a benchmark for interest rates that is scheduled to cease to exist in 2021, with SOFR, the Secured Overnight Financing Rate, published daily by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, as a benchmark rate reference used in the Yakima Municipal Code.

Recommendation: Approve the ordinance, replacing of “LIBOR” with “SOFR, (Secured Overnight Financing Rate)” in the following section of the Yakima Municipal Code

Title 3 Funds and Accounts

§ 123.010 Interfund loan

The director of finance and budget is hereby authorized to administer an interfund loan program. The director of finance and budget shall make interfund loans as needed to keep the funds of the city solvent. In conjunction with interfund loans, the director of finance and budget shall prepare a planned schedule of repayment of the loan principal plus applicable interest. The monthly rate of interest will be the thirty-day ~~LIBOR~~ *Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR)* rate plus one percent and shall be charged by the lending fund, unless the borrowing fund has no other source of revenue other than the lending fund, or is normally funded by the lending fund. In addition, the borrowing fund must anticipate sufficient revenues to be in a position over the period of the loan to make the specified principal and interest payments. Interfund loans for brief periods up to a calendar quarter will not be subject to interest. (Ord. 2013-011 § 1 (part), 2013).

Rationale: The Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) is generally accepted as the replacement benchmark rate for LIBOR. The Federal Reserve formed a committee to select a replacement benchmark rate and announced SOFR as its choice for the replacement in 2017. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York began publishing SOFR rates daily in April 2018.

Reference: An informational article, published in the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) member publication *Government Finance Review*, in October, 2019, is attached for further background.



Background on LIBOR and SOFR

By Emily Swenson Brock

While ubiquitous, LIBOR became less suitable as a benchmark because it is meant to represent the cost of short-term unsecured borrowing by banks. Banks have substantially reduced their use of this type of borrowing.

The London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) is a global benchmark interest rate that is calculated daily. With \$200 trillion in U.S. dollar exposures linked to it, LIBOR is the most widely used benchmark and has been called “the world’s most important number.” Financial products based on LIBOR include loans, corporate bonds, interest rate swaps, mortgages, student loans, and deposits. They also include municipal bonds and loans.

While ubiquitous, LIBOR became less suitable as a benchmark because it is meant to represent the cost of short-term unsecured borrowing by banks, and banks have substantially reduced their use of this type of borrowing. The LIBOR panel banks typically must submit rates based on their judgment rather than actual transactions, and many are understandably reluctant to continue doing so. Regulators and market participants are concerned that this “most important number” is no longer robust. The transition away from LIBOR became urgent in July 2017 when Andrew Bailey, head of the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and regulator of LIBOR, announced that they would not require panel banks to submit quotes underlying LIBOR after 2021. In light of these statements, the future existence of LIBOR is uncertain.

In 2014, the Federal Reserve formed the Alternative Reference Rates Committee (ARRC), a group that includes private-sector market participants to select a rate to replace USD LIBOR and guide the transition. After much analysis of the potential alternatives, the ARRC announced in June 2017 that it had selected a new rate, the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR), as the recommended replacement for USD LIBOR. The Federal Reserve began publishing SOFR in April 2018. The ARRC selected SOFR because:

- SOFR is fully based on actual transactions, and so does not rely on judgment.
- SOFR references multiple segments of the U.S. Treasury repurchase agreement market, the largest rates market in the world.
- SOFR’s underlying market is resilient and robust.
- SOFR is a true “risk-free” rate suitable as a reflection of interest rates overall.
- SOFR is produced by the public sector using a transparent methodology.
- SOFR correlates well with other overnight money market rates and with the cost of borrowing for non-financial corporations.

To guide the transition, the ARRC was reconstituted in April 2018 with broad representation from official government entities, banks, asset managers, insurers, consumer groups, and industry trade associations. It is now tasked with:

- Developing options for implementing SOFR across loans, bonds, and securities that reference the USD LIBOR (cash products);
- Transitioning derivatives transactions to SOFR;
- Minimizing potential disruptions associated with either voluntary transition to SOFR or to an end of LIBOR; and
- Communicating the rationale behind the change to SOFR and the status of implementation.

Taking inventories of existing products and processes that use LIBOR should be a first step for any municipal issuer.

TRANSITION TO SOFR FOR MUNICIPAL ISSUERS

Taking inventories of existing products and processes that use LIBOR should be a first step for any municipal issuer. Some common uses of LIBOR among state and local government generally include:

- Issuance of floating rate notes and loans where the interest rate is reset periodically based on LIBOR such as private placements and bank loans.

- Use of derivatives linked to LIBOR:
 - Use of synthetic fixed-rate structures to gain exposure to a fixed rate when issuing variable rate bonds — for example, interest rate swaps in which an issuer agrees to receive a LIBOR-based floating interest rate in exchange for paying a fixed interest rate. To the extent that the two floating rates offset each other, the issuer's net interest rate exposure is limited to the fixed swap rate.
 - Similarly, use of synthetic variable rate structures to gain exposure to a variable rate when issuing fixed rate municipal bonds. Examples are interest rate swaps where the payments are reversed, compared to the example above. To the extent that the fixed rates offset each other, the issuer's net interest rate exposure is limited to the floating swap rate.
 - Use of interest rate swaps in an effort to assume exposure to changes in tax rates, where the issuer pays the counterparty a floating rate based on the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Index, which tracks tax-exempt seven-day interest rates and receives a percentage of LIBOR for a set period of time. These transactions provide an opportunity for positive carry, given differences between tax-exempt and taxable rates.
- Holding of LIBOR-based floating rate notes issued by corporations or sovereigns in the state and local government's asset portfolios.

Because many of these contracts referencing LIBOR do not adequately plan for the risk that LIBOR will be discontinued, such an event could have serious consequences for a wide range of market participants and investors. Strategies for how to handle LIBOR cessation in legacy contracts have not yet been worked out, and municipal issuers together with their counsel and advisors should work with ARRC to seek ways of addressing these issues.

Developing mechanisms through which market participants can transition remaining legacy LIBOR-based products to SOFR, and launching new contracts referencing SOFR or other rates, should be two core programs for municipal issuers in the coming years. Addressing potential problems like tax and accounting issues, as well as continuing education about the available resources and the transition timeline, will also help.

LEGACY CONTRACTS

The long duration of existing municipal bonds and loans implies that a considerable part of the outstanding stock will not have matured or rolled over by any likely end date for LIBOR. Securities and products with long dura-

The long duration of existing municipal bonds and loans implies that a considerable part of the outstanding stock will not have matured or rolled over by any likely end date for LIBOR.

tions need to be managed through “fallback” provisions set forth in contracts describing what happens if LIBOR is no longer produced. Open questions include who can legally change contract language to include fallback provisions (i.e., unanimous consent versus calculation agent), what the exact triggers to move to an alternative rate would be, and whether a spread should be included (or adjusted).

NEW CONTRACTS

Issuers should also start thinking about and planning for new language and terms that would reference SOFR or other rates rather than LIBOR. As soon as they are comfortable with the new language, they should start using it in new contracts.

TAX AND ACCOUNTING ISSUES

A number of potential tax and accounting issues will need to be addressed, including whether a move from LIBOR would cause a bond to lose its tax-exempt status. The ARRC is working on these questions.

Importantly, in early October 2019, the U.S. Treasury Department moved to smooth the transition away from LIBOR by proposing a rule allowing market participants to change the reference rate on any contract without tax consequences. GFOA looks forward to commenting on the proposed regulations. The Treasury Department’s attention to this matter has been much anticipated by issu-

ers and other market participants as they prepare for the transition away from LIBOR. We are especially glad to see that the Internal Revenue Service is providing clarity around the tax issues relating to converting outstanding trades such as interest rate swap contracts in order to alleviate any costly and unproductive disruption for issuers.

Issuers should also start thinking about and planning for new language and terms that would reference SOFR or other rates rather than LIBOR.

EDUCATION AND RESOURCES

All market participants should prepare themselves for a world with SOFR, and potentially one without LIBOR. The ARRC maintains a website, which is accessible to all, where it will release guidance and steps on transitioning as well as updates on market progress during this transition.

As an appointee to the Alternative Reference Rates Committee, GFOA will continue providing content to help educate the issuer community as the transition approaches. ■

EMILY SWENSON BROCK is director of GFOA’s Federal Liaison Center in Washington, D.C.